BABERGH DISTRICT COUNCIL

Minutes of the meeting of the **PLANNING COMMITTEE** held in the King Edmund Chamber, Endeavour House, 8 Russell Road, Ipswich on Wednesday, 8 February 2023

Councillor: Stephen Plumb (Chair)

Leigh Jamieson (Vice-Chair)

Councillors: Simon Barrett Peter Beer

David Busby John Hinton
Michael Holt Alastair McCraw
Mary McLaren Adrian Osborne

Alison Owen

Ward	Mem	ber((S)):
------	-----	------	-----	----

Councillors:

In attendance:

Guest(s):

Officers:

Apologies:

93 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND SUBSTITUTIONS

93.1 There were no apologies for absence.

94 DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

94.1 There were no declarations of interests.

95 PL/22/24 TO CONFIRM THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON 25 JANUARY 2023

It was RESOLVED:

That the minutes of the meeting held on 25 January 2023 were confirmed and signed as a true record.

96 TO RECEIVE NOTIFICATION OF PETITIONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE COUNCIL'S PETITION SCHEME

96.1 None received.

97 SITE INSPECTIONS

97.1 None received.

98 PL/22/25 PLANNING APPLICATIONS FOR DETERMINATION BY THE COMMITTEE

In accordance with the Council's arrangements for Public Speaking at Planning Committee, representations were made as detailed below relating to the items in Paper PL/22/25 and the speakers responded to questions put to them as provided for under those arrangements.

Application Number	Representations From		
DC/21/00060	Stephen Fordham (Burstall Parish Council)		
	Sarah Main (Objector)		
	John Cousins (Supporter)		
	Simon Chamberlayne (Applicant)		
	Councillor Christopher Hudson (Suffolk County		
	Council)		

It was RESOLVED

That subject to the imposition of conditions or reasons for refusal (whether additional or otherwise) in accordance with delegated powers under Council Minute No. 48(a) (dated 19 October 2004) decisions on the items referred to in Paper PL/22/25 be made as follows:-

99 DC/21/00060 LAND TO THE EAST OF THE CHANNEL, BURSTALL, IP8 4JL

99.1 Item 6A

Application Proposal	DC/21/00060 Full Planning Application – Installation of renewable
·	energy generating station, comprising ground-mounted photovoltaic solar arrays and battery-based electricity
	storage containers together with substation,
	inverter/transformer stations, site accesses, internal
	access tracks, security measures, access gates, other
	ancillary infrastructure, landscaping and biodiversity
	enhancements including nature areas.
Site Location	BURSTALL – Land to the East of The Channel, Burstall,
	IP8 4JL
Applicant	Bramford Green Limited

- 99.2 Councillor Busby confirmed that he would remain on the Committee for the duration of the application and not speak as the Ward Member.
- 99.3 The Case Officer presented the application to the Committee outlining the proposal before Members including: the cross boundary location of the site,

the site constraints, the existing public rights of way and permissive routes, the agricultural land classification of the site, the landscape setting of the site, the cumulative impact and location of the surrounding schemes, the proposed layout of the site including internal roadways, the proposed battery storage containers and control room buildings and the proposed elevations of the photo voltaic arrays including mitigations for noise and glare.

- 99.4 Following questions from Members the Case Officer provided clarification of the location of the application site and the length of the photo voltaic panels.
- 99.5 The Case Officer presented further details of the proposal to Members including: the proposed access to the site, the equivalent energy usage generated by the site, the current use of the site, the potential impact on ecology, traffic and residential and public amenity, and the officer recommendation of approval.
- 99.6 The Case Officer responded to questions from Members on issues including: the size of the battery storage containers, the energy output generated by the site, the size and volume levels of the batteries, the impact of accumulative noise from adjacent sites, the previous use of the land, the concerns from objectors regarding hazardous substances, the agricultural classification of the land, how the impact on highways was assessed and classified, alternative appropriate sites in the area, and whether a target amount of energy generation has been set for the site.
- 99.7 Members considered the representation from Stephen Fordham who spoke on behalf of Burstall Parish Council.
- 99.8 The Parish Council representative responded to questions from Members regarding the issues which arose during the development of adjacent sites.
- 99.9 Members considered the representation from Samantha Main who spoke as an Objector.
- 99.10 Members considered the representation from John Cousins who spoke as a Supporter.
- 99.11 The Supporter responded to questions from Members on issues including: the current agricultural use of the land, and provisions for reinstatement of the land included in the legal agreement with the applicant.
- 99.12 Members considered the representation from Simon Chamberlayne who spoke as the Applicant.
- 99.13 The Applicant, the Chief Planning Officer and the Case Officer responded to questions from Members on issues including: the provisions for reinstatement of the land included in the legal agreement with the landowner, and whether a new lease could be agreed in the future.
- 99.14 The Applicant responded to further questions from Members on issues

including: the size and noise level of the batteries to be used, the expected Heavy Goods Vehicle movements during the build phase, the length of the construction period, the orientation of the panels, the increased power output in comparison to the original application, the suitability of the location, the proposed number of panels to be installed, the expected lifespan of the batteries, the plans for control of lighting on site, the skylark mitigation strategy, and the field margin schemes.

- 99.15 Members considered the representation from Suffolk County Councillor Christopher Hudson who spoke against the application.
- 99.16 A break was taken from 11:16am until 11:25am.
- 99.17 Members debated the application on issues including: the loss of agricultural land, the use of land for energy, the future removal of the equipment from the site, the reliance on fossil fuels and the need to move to renewable energy, and highways issues.
- 99.18 The Chief Planning Officer provided clarification to Members regarding the proposed condition for reinstatement of the site, and the response from Suffolk County Council Highways.
- 99.19 Members continued to debate the application on issues including: the wider benefits of the application, the landscape impact, and the suitability of the current infrastructure and location of existing sub-stations.
- 99.20 The Chief Planning Officer responded to questions from Members on issues including the planning balance and condition 15.2 of the National Planning Policy Framework concerning conservation of the natural environment.
- 99.21 Members debated the application further on issues including: the battery storage arrangements, the potential upheaval caused by the installation of cables, and the highways impact.
- 99.22 Councillor Jamieson proposed that the application be approved as detailed in the report.
- 99.23 Councillor McLaren seconded the proposal.

By a vote of 6 votes for and 5 against

It was RESOLVED:

- A. That authority be delegated to the Chief Planning Officer to GRANT planning permission subject to the following conditions:
 - Time limit
 - Approved plans
 - Temporary PP, removal, reinstatement, and retention of biodiversity enhancements

- Access details to be agreed
- Arb method statement
- Archaeology WSI, PEX and recording
- CEMP
- Control of lighting
- CTMP
- Final details of permissive bridleway
- Infor board details
- Landscaping details
- Landscaping implementation
- Method for glare complaints mitigation
- No burning
- Operational noise assessment
- Skylark Mitigation Strategy
- Surface water drainage strategy
- Vis splays
- Working hours
- B. In the event that an appeal is received Members agree the above position and authority be delegated to the Chief Planning Officer to respond to the submitted appeal on this basis.

The business of the meeting was concluded at 11.55 am.
Chair